THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

09/937,188

Confirmation No.

6355

Applicant

Christine Connolly, et al.

Filed-

September 21, 2001

Title

METHOD FOR

CALIBRATING A CAMERA IN A COLOUR MONITORING

SYSTEM

TC/A.U.

2622

Examiner

: Justin P. Misleh

Docket No.

045174-9001

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.114 AND **EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Response and Amendment is filed in reply to the July 14, 2006 Office action issued in the above-referenced patent application, is filed within three months of the shortened statutory period set for response to the Office action, and is further to a telephonic Examiner's Interview with the undersigned Applicant's Representative on October 20, 2006. The Applicants hereby petition for a three-month extension of time to file the accompanying Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") and this Response and Amendment, and request that the necessary extension of time and RCE fees be charged to Deposit Acct. No. 13-3080.

Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are set forth in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 9 of this paper.

l, Elizabeth Eiche, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date of my signature

Date of Signature

Application Number 09/937,188
Response and Amendment dated January 15, 2007
Reply to Office action dated July 14, 2006
Attorney Docket Number: 045174-9001-00

Interview Summary

Applicants' representatives Christopher B. Austin and Jay Einerson of Michael Best & Friedrich LLP conducted a telephonic Examiner's Interview with Examiner Misleh on October 20, 2006. The parties discussed the claims of the present application in light of the Takahashi reference cited against those claims in the Final Rejection mailed July 14, 2006. Further detail regarding the remarks made during the Examiner's Interview are provided below in the Remarks section of this Response and Amendment. No specific agreements were reached.